Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Explanation of Meeting Procedure
- IV. ACTION: Additions to and Approval of the Agenda
- V. Dawson: Move to approve Bill of Rights

Cambree: The draft has been authorized. If during the next

General assembly

Gracie UND: My understanding is that we can present it to the NDUS.

Cambree: We have sent this to them already. We want the State board to review the draft.

Dawson UND: We don't write policy, so we shouldn't draft policy.

Gracie: We can agree or disagree with the policy later, after it comes back from the NDUS and State Board.

Cambree: I believe this is something to be discussed at the next meeting.

Paige: If a majority of delegates would like to discuss, can we move to talk about that today?

Cambree: Typically these are taken during committee meetings.

Second from NDSCS for motion to add Student Data Privacy bill of rights to agenda

Open for discussion before voting

Lindsey: I believe the understanding at the last meeting is that we would move this to the next meeting. I know this isn't the first time the doc has been brought. Being that the discussion took an hour last night, I think it would be more beneficial to move this to the next meeting.

Dawson UND: From my understanding, we discussed that it would be drafted last night. V did start this discussion about 10 months ago, and I don't believe there has been substantial changes, and we like it as a whole. This is only guidance, not policy. There were only some minor changes, nothing that needs to push it further.

Cambree: You are suggesting we finalize the amendment, and vote on that amendment?

Dawson: Yes

Cambree: Is there any further discussion?

Gracie: If we can approve a draft and approve of that. We aren't finalizing it here, a refine version will come back from the state board if we approve this now. We talked about this with policy experts to get it to this point.

Cambree: We are not currently discussing merits, we are discussing for this to be added t



Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

the agenda.

Gracie: The background has merit to why we are adding it.

Cambree: Eric Olson and Lisa Johnson were present during examination. It has already

been through

Mason Radermacher NDSU: I move that we move into time of voting

Cambree: it has been motioned that we vote.

Addition to the agenda about the Bill of Rights approved by assembly. No dissent.

VI. Consider approval of Constitutional addition

VII. ACTION: Consider Approval of the Consent Agenda

A consent agenda allows the General Assembly to approve routine and noncontentious agenda items and reports simultaneously in a single agenda item, saving time during the meeting. Items will be placed underneath this line item prior the start of Saturday's meeting. Members may request to remove items from the consent agenda.

- A. Consider Approval of Minutes from October 18 and 19, 2019
- B. Consider Approval of Vouchers
- VIII. Committee and Task Force Reports:

A. Internal Affairs Committee

Miranda: Code of conduct has been tabled indefinitely

Talked about director positions

Two year rep position. Asked for vote to reconviene next meeting

Scholarship policy amendment, but did not work with how scholarships are dispursed

Directorship will be voted on for approval

B. Student Affairs Committee

Discussed Privacy bill

Ad Hoc committee for requiring grading system

Ad Hoc committee for title IX discussion

C. State Legislative Affairs Committee

Toso: We talked about efforts in Dual Credit



Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

Internal Legislative committees and Legacy committee. Have potential involvement, and consequences

Directorships available

Political Activites discussion was changed to one policy for students and one for staff and faculty, which has yet to be made but will go to

IX. Presidential Report

Still accepting director positions

Will reach out for interviews within next week

Will report on Political Activites policy

SBH Will work with us for students and staff

X. New Business

A. Consider approval of Constitutional Ammendment

Miranda: In October we talked about adding a line to who we report to. This doesn't change how we operate, it only makes clear how we operate. We report to no one but the students we serve. This amendment makes that clear.

No Ouestions

Motion to vote for

Ammesten LRSC

Second

Moving to clicker vote

Motion Carries

B. Consider approval of Nathan Foster as Director of Operations

Seeking motion to approve appointment

Motioned LRSC

Seconded

Camrbee: Any discussion

Approved in voice vote

C. Bill of Rights

Cambree: We discussed some things last meeting. We have been presenting this as being approved in Sep Meeting. Also discussed in Cabinet Meeting. There has been



Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

discussions with various members in the NDUS. After extensive discussion with previous handling. Document will eventually be drafted into policy. This document wil be most impactful if policy is drafted mostly from students. We will be receiving extensive help to approve that it is legal, But we are bringing this with students. Main vote was amending to have separate version for student and faculty.

Last night there were

Dawson: We wanted to share the change as we have it written.

Cambree: Is there discussion on these ammendments?

Dawson: I think this amendment encompasses the issues we had in SAC giving the general idea of us being in charge of our information without bogging legislation dow

Jacob: I move to approve ammendments

Second by VCSU

Cambree: All in favor of approving ammendments

Motion carries.

Are there any other discussions?

Gracie: The goal of this document is to move it forward for the state board to utilize their legal staff to draft policy based off of this document. I assume they would be bain January with draft policy that we can then approve, similar to what we have done with Political activaties document. That is why we should move this to the NDUS staff.

Mason Radermacher, NDSU: Section 3 talks about Identity information cannot be sold released. Open Records law says it would remain.

Cambree: This is made for testimony for a later date. In a draft policy, we cannot add that. We can add non-directory information about this. If there is a bill to change regarding that information. We don't want information.

Mason: It already prevents that. My question is are the merits of including this in a policy?

Cambree: there is talk of change in that in the Council. This is a fluid document.

Mason: Doesn't it have to be approved year by year?

Cambree: There is future purpose for this

Dawson: We don't need to get too far in the weeds. This is not policy, this is what we would like to see moving forward. This is not a policy, and this isn't meant to make th SBH write policy. Statute can change, this is us saying that we would like this to continue in the future

Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

Cale: Why would make them spend time on something that we are unsure about? Shouldn't we fully agree with what we send?

Cambee: We can have each institution look within the next two weeks.

Mason: Grayson wanted this on the agenda. Why go through the effort if they will send it back.

Cambree: they have already looked at this document. That was the point of bringing it to them

Mason: isn't this to guide a policy? If the next step is drafting the policy?

Cambree: I feel that student govs should communicate among themselves about what we shold do that

Mason: We can't write policy

Cambree: This would be an assisted process

Casey O UND: This is a list of what we want in the policy. Regardless of who is drafting we could draft and SB Lawyers will approve it. This document is a wishlist of what we would like to see in such a document for when they come back. We can pass another resolution based on the policy that they bring.

Gracie UND: Can we make a motion to have the Exec team bring this to SB with the intent that they will bring a policy back that we can approve for policy.

Cambree: it has been moved that exec team brings this to State Board to do the drafting

Lindsey Second

Motioned and seconded to bring BoR to NDUS to draft a policy that they will write and bring it back to the NDSA for approval

0 abstentions.

Motion carried

XI. Complete General Assembly Feedback Survey

Head delegates will help delegations with process

XIII. Institutional Reports

XII.

Bismark: BSC recently went through exec board changes, I am president. Boen is our head delegate. Out biggest thing this year is campus security hasn't had a permanent office, so we have been working to get them one because they are vital to students. W

Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

bought a pinball machine as well

Dakota college at Bottineau: Enrollment is higher this year. Athletic director change.

Dickenson: Abigail: Interim President Easton is starting after break. Campus forum being put together. Women's cross country in nationals. Football in Iowa after 5th conference win, and 50th win from coach. All seats filled. Exec team is entirely female well. Students from multiple countries to show diversity present. NDSU prom in sprinc

LRSC: Plans for new Ag Center. Latest branches magazine has been sent to surroundings. Sports, we have a football game today and tomorrow. Student Senate has several positions open as well.

Mayville: Thank you all for coming here, exciting that so many of you came. Thank you for coming

MiSU: Menstrual products initiative has been brought to senate for approval. Recently given donation to develop leadership programs. Thank you Mayville for hosting.

NDSCS: Just elected new officer. Senate is paying for thanksgiving dinner for students and staff free of their charge. Healthful rating.

NDSU:

"As the NDSU Student Government – and therefore, the representative voice of the NDSU student body – we do not support NDSA-09-1819. Our institution prides itself o the efficiency and uniqueness of our application process. North Dakota State Universit has invested a tremendous amount of time and resources in the renovation of our application process, and, by advocating for a 'common application,' NDSA would be diminishing our investment into our prospective students' experience. This resolution erases each university's identity and consolidates all 11 institutions into a generalized North Dakota University System, eliminating the unique qualities and discretion of our Admissions office, which handles nearly one third of the applications processed in the state of North Dakota. In short, this consolidation does not emphasize the distinctive characteristics and exclusive opportunities available at NDSU.

Advocating for this resolution would impede universities, both large and small, from standing out amongst the crowd. The purpose, scope, and mission of each institution fundamentally differs from institution to institution. The sheer volume of applications handled by the University of North Dakota and North Dakota State University is unparallel across the University System. For this reason, both universities have requested – and have been granted – the ability to utilize an admissions program, which dramatically differs from the 'common' program used by the remaining nine institutions.

This one-size-fits-all application is not beneficial for any public institution in North Dakota. Each university may request different information from their prospective students, and programs that are offered at the one institution may not be offered at

Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

another. The smallest institutions could be subject to insignificant questions and criteria that are essential for the larger institutions. It is also possible that the large, research institutions could lose their zeal and prestige if generalized under the same application as two-year institutions. This common application may cause confusion among students seeking higher education at a specific North Dakota university.

At North Dakota State University, we believe this resolution hinders our University's ability to effectively and efficiently recruit prospective students from across the Midwest. A large number of our students attended high schools in Minnesota and continued their education at North Dakota State University. Our university strives to further our reach throughout the Midwest and the United States; however, by passing this legislation, our admissions department would be restrained from beneficially continuing their outreach.

Finally, NDSA-09-1819 creates a barrier for each institution throughout the University System. While there may be small amounts of overlap between these universities, ther are large areas that do not overlap. The variety that exists between each university should not be simplified or merged into one application. This resolution reverts the resources that NDSU and its staff have allocated towards our University's application process. Because of these aforementioned reasons, NDSU Student Government opposithis resolution and does not support a common application."

In sports we are 11-0.

UND: Thank you Mayville for hosting. We recently submitted 3 finalists for Presidential search. Thank you to Van Horn as well. Student senate met with city council to integra our campus with our community. We haven't lost a home game in hockey yet. Also thank you all for your dedication, this is the fullest assembly I've ever seen.

VCSU: Volleyball and track coaches resigned, but track coach was hired. Walls just can down for water. Construction started for Music and Fine Arts building.

Williston: Not Present

XIV. Public Comment and Announcements

Miranda: When we adjourn, please bring clickers to me.

Cambree: Putting up application for VP of Comm, please see me after if interested

XV. Adjourn 10:07am.



Minutes Saturday, November 23, 2019

INSTITUTION	STUDENT TOTAL	APPORTIONED VOTING DELEGATES	DELEGATES PRESENT	DELEGATES VOTING
Bismarck State College	3,756	7	5	5
Dakota College at Bottineau	909	4	3	3
Dickinson State University	1,425	4	6	4
Lake Region State College	1,972	5	3	3
Mayville State University	1,140	4	4	4
Minot State University	3,216	6	6	5
North Dakota State College of Science	2,985	6	4	4
North Dakota State University	14,358	17	14	14
University of North Dakota	14,406	17	19	17
Valley City State University	1,522	5	11	5
Williston State College	1,098	4	0	0
		TOTAL: 64	75	64



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

- I. Committee Activity
- II. Call to Order 6:08
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Explanation of Meeting Procedure
- V. Video: How to Join the NDSA Office 365 SharePoint

Video Link

VI. Additions to and Approval of the Agenda

Agenda approved unanimouslymotion by Kylie Ardnt NDSU second Riley UND

VII. Officer Reports:

A. Vice President of Finance and Operations, Miranda Petrich

Working on projects for Smith- code of conduct, scholarship amendment Current budget- met with accountant after Oct. meeting. money will go to reimbursement and Mayville for NDSA Nov.

VIII. Order of Business:

A. Consider approval of vouchers

Host school reimbursement (projected 86x\$15=1,290). Motioned by Kylie Ardnt to change to 96, seconded by Gizelle Motion to approve- Paige, second by Simon

B. Advancement of the Two-Year Representative position

Consider ways in which the NDSA can further legitimize and develop the two-year representative position.

Previous rep resigned- sparked conversation

Not stated in bylaws- do we need it? Is there sufficient exec team representation for 2 year schools

Serves as liaison between exec team and 2 year schools to ensure 2 year schools have a strong voice. Head delegates can also act in this capacity, however



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

Does not have specific responsibilities assigned; if we want to keep the position, discuss its role/responsibilities

Gizelle DSU- thinks it's helpful, 2 and 4 year colleges are different so it would be more effective

Simon MSU- do 2 year schools feel underrepresented?

Matthew NDSU- is there a reason 2 year students don't apply for exec team? Currently, no roles are designated solely for 4 year schools

Gizelle DSU- talk to marguisa about her perspective on the role while she was in the role. Small school, their governing body is pretty selective

Maxwell NDSCS- thinks people might not be applying for this role because most people there aren't moving onto a 4 year college, want to go into workforce, not as focused on student governance

Consider sending out a survey to 2 year schools

Kirsten Ranke VCSU- Give it to head delegates, or student governments, or student body?

Would go to head delegates

Bowen A. BSC- thinks it's hard to get involved because only a student for 2 years, limited opportunity to get involved

Last year, no exec board applicants from 2 year schools

Gizelle DSU- she didn't hear about the position when she was at a 2 year school. Looking at sizes of other schools, she feels the 2 year schools might feel overwhelmed by the size of 4 year schools

Kirsten- intimidating to get involved from a smaller school, so that position might encourage participation

Simon MSU- headcounts from schools in terms of breakouts

Aaron NDSCS- 2 years isn't sufficient time, not as much of a push to get involved in this way, more focused on job skills and graduating

C. Code of Conduct

Fear that officer positions aren't being fulfilled in duties Conversations with individuals- asked to vacate positions Officers have typically resigned graciously

Impeachment process is too lengthy if it was ever needed

Drafted this policy for discussion, but not looking to approve it at GA tomorrow

Six or more infractions of the policy will result in removal from the position Riley UND- who is the one individual? VP of Finance and Operations (fits best with iob)

Kylie- thinks there should be a time limit in terms of meetings, people have busy schedules

Nathan UND- not a fan of giving one person the power



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

NDSCS- if we chose to give that one person the power to fire, is there someone who can override?

Previous line in bylaws-VPFO files a report in IAC, IAC decides next course of action. Was struck at some point

Kylie NDSU- any officer can bring it to exec team, then 2/3 majority vote Paige- this is good to work off of, but she thinks it should go directly in the bylaws

UND- the power to dismiss should be contained to GA, anything within exec team or one person puts too much power, chance of politics. Arbitrary numbers aren't useful, should be a case by case basis

Kaelen UND- what is the process to remove someone previously? In bylaws, the clause had dealt with meeting attendance and that got changed to council attendance. Before, president in particular could ask VPFO to look into it, file to IAC, and then GA would have final say. Under NDSA constitution, gives NDSA assembly its own ultimate governing power Kaelen UND- agrees with what was previously in bylaws, seems more democratic and less arbitrary. Why was it removed?

Misinterpreted clause, taken to mean council meetings instead of general duties and attendance

Kaelen UND- can it be reinstated?

Kylie NDSU- should clarify what was originally in the bylaws, so it doesn't get struck again, but ensure no conflict of interest in case of president/vp of finance

Paige- when do we want to do this? Sounds like we don't want to keep up with this draft, can there be some revisions made either for tomorrow or January?

Amendment cannot be voted on until January anyway, so might as well sit down and do it for January

Raise of hands- miranda drafts amendment, raise hands for task force (majority agrees for a task force)

Kaelen UND- move to table document indefinitely, second NDSCS. Approved unanimously

Paige- motion to create a task force to draft a bylaw revision/addition.

Second Gizelle DSU. Approved with abstentions

Interested in joining task force, please stay after

D. Consider Scholarship Policy amendments

All officer positions have a scholarship attached. If resigned/removed during a semester, could their scholarship be rescinded?

Cannot really be revoked because it impacts financial aid, and it's taken by the school for tuition

Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

Inform business service center if not renewing for spring, then that scholarship can go to a newly appointed officer

Kylie NDSU- policy makes sense, considers caveat if money has not been dispersed yet, but it would cause a big mess if we ever tried to revoke Kirsten VCSU- could an officer resign/be removed and still run for another office?

Should be up to GA to decide if they can be reinstated to a new office anyway Scholarships for fall semester are dispersed in early/mid August typically Scholarship cannot be a check paid in cash, it has to go to an NDUS school directly

The scholarship is really helpful because it takes up the time in a persons life that a part-time job

E. Discuss Directorship positions

Director of operations- one applicant Gave an interview, and application looked good as well Move to approve him tomorrow, seeing no other applicants Parliamentarian application still open, but trying to approve positions tomorrow

IX. Announcements and Comments

Task force will be created at end of this meeting Meet in student lounge, food/games/swimming/pool/darts

Adjourn 7:03



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

INSTITUTION	STUDENT TOTAL	APPORTIONED VOTING DELEGATES	DELEGATES PRESENT
Bismarck State College	3,756	7	1
Dakota College at Bottineau	909	4	0
Dickinson State University	1,425	4	4
Lake Region State College	1,972	5	0
Mayville State University	1,140	4	2
Minot State University	3,216	6	2
North Dakota State College of Science	2,985	6	3
North Dakota State University	14,358	17	4
University of North Dakota	14,406	17	5
Valley City State University	1,522	5	1
Williston State College	1,098	4	0

TOTAL: 22

Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

- I. Committee Activity
- II. Call to Order 5:59 pm
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Explanation of Meeting Procedure
- V. Video: How to Join the NDSA Office 365 SharePoint

Video Link

VI. Additions to and Approval of the Agenda

None

VII. Officer Reports:

President, Cambree Smith

Discussed during minutes

Chief Administrator, John Hayes

Nothing

VIII. Open Forum for Student Concerns

Delegates shall discuss the statewide issues affecting students at their campuses.

- A. Jian Tian, VCSU: Issue on Ice on parking lots. Idea brought up for res halls to move cars off the lot so the lots can be cleaned.
- B. MiSU: Dickinson had issues with times of mountain time and central time. Can be significantly off.
- C. Erica, NDSU: NDSU and UND talked about Dead Week policy and how UND would like to implement such a thing. Dead week means professors cannot give weighted tests during a week (Usually during finals) in order for students to prepare.
- IX. Order of Business:
 - A. Review Timeline for future action on the Student Data Privacy Bill of Rights
 - i. Cambree: document has been discussed for a long time. We want to turn this into draft policy to bring to the SBU. Our current policy is a littl eout of date, and does not discuss everthing we discuss. We would like to ammend existing policy to focus on faculty, and have a separate version for students and student employees.
 - ii. Erica, NDSU: is this for the bill as it stands?

Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

iii. Cambree: this would

- iv. Erica Solberg, NDSU: academic administration pointed out some flaws. First section: Any time there is a new software, this would be detrimental. Many of these are covered under FERPA. 6 is too broad. Entities we report to would not allow DHS. 8, hard to implement can't protect, don't collect. 10, is already covered under FERPA. I think that this is a redundancy. Creating a document more broad than FERPA is too redundant.
- v. Dawson Dutchak, UND; Where is this currently found?
- vi. Cambree: if you go to the State Board Website and look under Information Technology, and look at 1202.3.

There was concern about recruiters getting information for military purposes.

- By drafting this type of document, this will allow us to provide future action, and will have to adapt as its life continues. We will use this as a resolution.
- vii. Kaleb Dschaak, SBHE: One of the exciting parts about ths, is that there hasn't been any serious discussions about this. As companies purchase this data, they may be in violation of rights. Your emails are technically public record, so companies can request that this data be given to them, and they can use this for marketing. This will need to go through several more iterations. There should be information silos between organizations such as health institutions. They were taking research data from intuitions in the to use that information. This is where you can put what you want to get from this document and how to protect your information to the best of our ability.
- viii. Cambree: This document was created last year in a company retreat. It was brought to our attention after discussions at a national level. There was a need to make sure student privacy was being addressed. Every ammendment has been approved at a cyber security conference with multiple CIOs in the NDUS system. We are scheduled to have discussions with Eric Olson and Lisa Johnson. We will be able to testify to these at the state board level. When we write state policy, we can change PII to non directory information. We will be working more closely with the SBHE, we will hash out problems that may stem from this. This discussion is for discussing whether we have multiple policies, a single policy, a task force, or another entity for drafting such documentation.

- ix. NDSU: is this ammendable?
- x. Cambree: it is ammendable, so that in 5 years, if there are aspects of something like AI coming up, we can ammend it then.
- xi. Kaleb Dschaak, SBHE; Information not shared, student fund loans, depart od education, blackboard, qualify for loans. Information isnt sold for marketing companies. The missing element, what actions students can take
- xii. Dawson Dutchak, UND; We don't want students blindly saying yes to things they don't know
- xiii. Kaleb Dschaak; blackboard can't report GPA but if get notification from professor, information cannot be protected, company gets info without violating FERPA, new topic so not discussed, properly
- xiv. NDSU;How does protocol work for students to make contention about entities
- xv. Could be interpreted in different ways misjudged?
- xvi. Cambree: misinterpreted by whom?
- xvii. If there exists entity they want to contest, brought to NDUS
- xviii. General guidelines and allow universities to work out details
- xix. UND: meant to be vague document, go frmo there, don't have to have specific protocols yet, basic bill of rights, draft policies
- xx. Cambree: adding amendment on why entity shouldn't have info
- xxi. Faith: motion to come back to this
- xxii. Cambree: Seeing no dissent, we will circle back
- xxiii. Dawson: I move to authorize the exec team drafts a proposed ammendment addition to the document
- xxiv. Seconded

- B. Discuss Drafting a SBHE grading policy
 - i. Cambree: Being that many students were unaware of what their grade was too far into the semester. We want to establish what you believe to be fair to institutions to have better. We want institutions to establish their own grading system.
 - ii. Aren't all the same
 - iii. Cambree: not necessarily, professors have a lot of leeway in when they post grades. Currently, many professors do not get
 - iv. Carl, NDSU: how does this play into academic freedom.
 - v. Cambree: We aren't restricting the academic freedom. We also don't want this to be so strict that it creates busywork. We are trying to make this prefferable for students who need to know where they are at with grades. Other students have discussed 4 times
 - vi. Laura Freeman: It seems like a good idea. There is, however, a broad difference between types of classes may cause differences in grading styles. Papers vs Scantrons.
 - vii. Cambree: With GenEd courses, or similar courses, there can be separate ways that particular colleges use these rules. There are times that students need this feedback. Some students struggle when they aren't aware of their grade in a course.
 - viii. Dawson UND: We already bridged what we talked about. We want a timely matter for assignments, maybe a deadline on a per assignment basis.



- ix. Michelle, MaSU: Rather than having a "you tell us" system, we can make a policy where students can request their current standing in a class, and a professor tells them what it is. A professor should know where a student stands.
- x. Eric NDSU: I don't think that gives professors enough flexibility

 Grades shall be provided upon x number of days after request
- xi. Michelle: a department can determine the number of days.
- xii. VCSU: we had this issue, so we sent a resolution to different departments. 2 weeks, or time appropriate.
- xiii. Taylene, VCSU: in our policy, we have teachers outline how they grade in their syllabus.
- xiv. Taylor Kimball NDSU: one of my concerns is enforcement, what happens if a teacher doesn't make that timeline?
- xv. Cambree: The ultimate problem isn't how right now, but whether it should be. If I don't have a grade, and it affects how I do assignments.
- xvi. Dawson UND: Maybe an Idea to be put forward, broadly, could be a professor tells you when a grade will be in. If they are behind you can have a protocol. That way there is accountability given from their own timeline.
- xvii. Cambree: First we decide whether policy should be drafted on this, and put together an adhoc committee.



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

- xviii. Unidentified: All my teachers do this already.
- xix. Cambree: part of the problem is
- xx. Eric NDSU: I think we can agree that this is a problem. Each campus should decide how it is handled
- xxi. Cambree: The fact of the matter is, the SBpolicy that does face all campuses. We would want to consolidate this for all universities
- xxii. Dawson: Something said to state board about just having a policy at each institution is needed, and let institutions. Right now there is nothing saying they need one. We don't need to establish the policy, but just make sure that each campus has one.
- xxiii. Cambree: I would like to do a vote for how many are in favor of having a policy.

Approved: 17 Opposed: 10

Passes. Seeking motion to create ad hoc committee. After, please talk to me to discuss further action.

- C. Discuss purchasing textbooks through Blackboard or Peoplesoft
 - i. Cambree: Are students interested in such a line item still. Are students interested
 - ii. Taylene VCSU: We agreed that we already have policies, and that they should be handled within an institution. Bookstores use their own systems, and they should decide by themselves.
- D. Discuss Title IX and harassment training on campuses

- i. Cambree: I wanted feedback from campuses. Some receive extensive training, some not enough, some
- ii. J NDSCS: Clarification. Is this about campuses providing the information for title IX?
- iii. Cambree: For instance, we have a policy where a course needs to be taken by students in order to sign up for classes. We do find that these courses tend to not be utilized properly.
- iv. J NDSCS: most people are unaware of title IX. It isn't very discussed beyond orientation.
- v. Mckenal NDSU: we have an involvement office for manditory seminars that are held throughout the semester. There are requirements for campuses. We feel that we have a successful program, and if there are concerns, we feel that we can help.
- vi. Carly H LRSC: We have an assembly where the whole school is required to see a skit thing. Otherwise you will hear about it if it directly affects you. I think it is effective at the time, but after too much time it is no longer beneficial.
- vii. Sarah BCB: we don't have anything. We have an FYE course, but no students really know what it is. I think something like this should be enforced.
- viii. Ree Gram VCSU: As an RA, we give a seminar on this. All RAs are trained in title 9. IF studeents don't wwanto to talk to us about this, we can refer them. We had L2L program as well, where studnts can get refferals.
- ix. Taylor: What is your education (To NDSU and VCSU) what do you feel is most beneficial.
- x. NDSU: we have courses for students to become more involved, and have professors for this. We have a separate office for this, that we believe helps.
- xi. Faith: We also have an office for this. I feel like this may be an individual office thing. I think this should be an individual school item.

Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

- xii. NDSU: I think some campuses face this issue
- xiii. Jian: The problem I see is the way we hold students accountable. Students don't believe this is an issue. I don't think that it is necessary either to put too large a burden on students for this.
- xiv. Cambree: Is there interest in forming a committee to provide training or feedback on such an item. Seeing that there is interest.

Vote for adhoc committee for this policy

Unanimous. 0 abstentions.

Motion passed

- E. Discuss Directorship Positions
 - i. Cambree: Is there interest in a directorship position that you haven't had access to yet? There are interview positions available.
- X. Announcements and Comments
 - A. Paige UND: I serve on the Diversity council. I currently sit in on the call, but to those interested please see.
 - B. Dawson: Can we circle back to the BoR?*See BoR discussion
- XI. Adjourn 7:32



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

INSTITUTION	STUDENT TOTAL	APPORTIONED VOTING DELEGATES	DELEGATES PRESENT
Bismarck State College	3,756	7	2
Dakota College at Bottineau	909	4	2
Dickinson State University	1,425	4	1
Lake Region State College	1,972	5	2
Mayville State University	1,140	4	3
Minot State University	3,216	6	2
North Dakota State College of Science	2,985	6	4
North Dakota State University	14,358	17	7
University of North Dakota	14,406	17	8
Valley City State University	1,522	5	4
Williston State College	1,098	4	0

TOTAL: 35

Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

I. Committee Activity

II. Call to Order at 6:10 PM

III. Roll Call

A. BSC: 2 Delegates

B. DSU: 1 Delegate

C. LRSC: 1 Delegate

D. Mayville: 2 Delegates

E. Minot: 1 Delegate

F. NDSCS: 2 Delegates

G. NDSU: 3 Delegates

H. UND: 5 Delegates

I. VCSU: 5 Delegates

J. Total: 22 Delegates

IV. Explanation of Meeting Procedure

V. Video: How to Access the NDSA Office 365 SharePoint

Video Link

VI. Additions to and Approval of the Agenda

A. Motion to approve: J. Mehrer UND

B. Second: N. Colon NDSCS

VII. Officer Reports:

A. Vice President of Governmental Affairs, Taylor Toso

i. Nothing to report right now other than what we will be discussing in the

Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

order of business.

VIII. Order of Business:

- A. Discuss Post-Secondary Education Expansion and amendments to SBHE policy Vice President Toso will discuss the Executive Team's examinations into expanding post-secondary education opportunities in North Dakota.
- B. Discuss Interim Legislative Committees
 - i. B. Staskywicz BSC in favor of property tax relief
 - ii. H. Pogatchnik NDSU in favor of property tax relief
 - G. Lian UND striking a balance between saving and spending; invest in higher education - not free college, but let's support students who really need financial assistance to attend
 - iv. N. Colon NDSCS affordable housing, higher education, when everything is going up in cost, we need to help people stay in their homes
 - v. C. Scott Minot use of dividends for those in need to obtain a degree
 - vi. A. VCSU flood diversion plans, lower college costs
 - vii. A. Maassel Mayville kids school lunch should be covered if students are required to be there
 - viii. G. Lian are we looking at this to determine legislative goals for next year? (answer kind of, yes) as we're looking at dividend payments to ND residents, I don't think that is a valid use of the earnings.
 - ix. J. Mehrer the only way we should be a part of this conversation is if the money is being spent on higher education
 - x. C. Scott Minot allocating some of the funds from the legacy fund to the oil boom infrastructure
 - xi. N. Ball BSC money should go back to the western part of the state, since it is their income that is being tucked away; we should not get involved unless it is relevant to higher education
 - xii. G. Lian UND Is NDSA trying to expand beyond higher education? Do we want to expand into relevant areas like k-12? If you are investing more into your k-12 students, will that have an impact in maintaining enrollment rates.
 - xiii. B. Staskywicz BSC it is unethical for us to back any issues that do not influence any students of higher education in North Dakota. We want to focus on students in North Dakota.
 - xiv. L. Pouliot NDSU mental health infrastructure could be a really important investment with the high rates of mental illness among college students; suicide is the #1 killer of teenagers in North Dakota, and suicide rates among farmers are increasing.

- C. Discuss Directorship Positions
- D. Discuss the future of a SBHE Political Activities Policy
 - i. The University System has decided to break the political activities policy into two parts one relating to faculty and staff and another relating to students.
 - ii. A. VSCU so there are two different policies? (yes.) the reason I ask, when I brought it back
 - iii. Issues with the Policy
 - 1. G. Lian UND do we have a list of things we would like to see? Can we write separately
 - 2. How are RA's impacted? Are they off duty?
 - 3. Staskywicz BSC unless you are a full-time staff member, BSC policy "doesn't apply to you"
 - 4. Lydia UND specifically on monetary restrictions, I would like to see if any
 - 5. Gracie Lian UND can we see a draft of the new version of the document with the full intention of ripping it up?
- IX. Announcements and Comments
- X. Adjourn 7:12



Minutes Friday, November 22, 2019

INSTITUTION	STUDENT TOTAL	APPORTIONED VOTING DELEGATES	DELEGATES PRESENT
Bismarck State College	3,756	7	2
Dakota College at Bottineau	909	4	1
Dickinson State University	1,425	4	0
Lake Region State College	1,972	5	1
Mayville State University	1,140	4	2
Minot State University	3,216	6	1
North Dakota State College of Science	2,985	6	2
North Dakota State University	14,358	17	3
University of North Dakota	14,406	17	5
Valley City State University	1,522	5	5
Williston State College	1,098	4	0

TOTAL: