

General Assembly

Meeting Minutes
(Saturday)

Chaired by **Sammi Weber**, President



NDSA

- I. Call to Order
 - A. NDSA President Sammi Weber called to order at 9:03 am
- II. Roll Call
 - A. BSC: 2 present, 2 voting
 - B. DCB: 0 present, 0 voting
 - C. DSU: 5 present, 4 voting
 - D. LRSC: 1 present, 1 voting
 - E. MaSU: 0 present, 0 voting
 - F. MiSU: 1 present, 1 voting
 - G. NDSCS: 11 present, 6 voting
 - H. NDSU: 9 present, 9 voting
 - I. UND: 12 present, 12 voting
 - J. VCSU: 9 present, 5 voting
 - K. WSC: 3 present, 3 voting
 - i. out of 11 institutions 9 present, 53 present, 43 voting
- III. Explanation of Meeting Procedure
- IV. **ACTION: Additions to and Approval of the Agenda**
 - A. Cody Olski (UND) moves to add a financial update to the agenda.
 - i. Seconded by NDSCS
 - ii. Motion passes
 - B. Briar Maudal (NDSCS) moves to make an addition for discussion regarding the chief of staff position.
 - i. Cody Olski (UND): Point of clarification, are we discussing the position, who's in the position?
 - ii. Briar Maudal (NDSCS): Discussing the required role, what their job entails, and how they're doing.
 - iii. Seconded by Lake Region State College
 - iv. Motion passes with 19 yes, 15 no, 7 absentions
 - C. Motion to approve agenda by NDSCS
 - i. Seconded by UND
- V. **ACTION: Consider Approval of the Consent Agenda**

A consent agenda allows the General Assembly to approve routine and noncontentious agenda items and reports simultaneously in a single agenda item, saving time during the meeting. Items will be placed underneath this line item prior the start of Saturday's meeting. Members may request to remove items from the consent agenda.

A. Motion to approve consent agenda by NDSCS

- i. Seconded by NDSU
- ii. Motion passes

VI. Committee Reports:

A. Internal Affairs Committee

- a. Vice President of Finance – Approved host school reimbursements. Approved new supplies for NDSA. Discussed new promotional items to purchase. The purchasing of one of these promotional items was approved. Congratulations to Dylan Campbell, the new Director of Finance.

B. Student Affairs Committee

- a. Vice President of Communication – Discussed student concerns with fall break. Mental health resolution that came through with a tabled indefinitely, which becomes a do not pass recommendation at general assembly. Dual enrollment resolution that got a do not pass recommendation. Congratulations to Madeleine Phillips as the Director of Public Relations.

C. State Legislative Affairs Committee

- a. Vice President of Governmental Affairs – Discussed upcoming legislative priorities. This got a pass recommendation. A resolution in support of funding for on campus housing got a pass recommendation. A resolution in opposition to measure 4 got a pass recommendation. Congratulations to Christopher Scott and Josh Hansen for directorships.

VII. Presidential Report: No Report

VIII. SBHE Student Member Report

- A. SBHE Student Member – At last SBHE meeting a new policy was passed that will expand OERs on campus. Looked at tenure and post-tenure review and a committee is working on that now. A document passed that puts post-tenure in the hands of the presidents. Looking to increase post-tenure review for faculty for the benefit of the students. Some upcoming conversations are dual credit conversations (what campuses should be allowed to offer) and the HSC allowed ninety credit voucher degrees and how those will affect institutions.

IX. New Business

A. Confirmation of Chief Administrator

- i. Christopher Scott (UND): What was the rationale/reasoning for selecting LilyBeth?
- ii. Sammi Weber (President): Picked for qualifications in

organization

- iii. NDSCS: Duties of role?
- iv. Sammi Weber (President): Organizes SharePoint and website, and attends committees
- v. Jason Fincel (NDSU): This appointment does have to be voted on by the GA
- vi. Moved into time of voting
- vii. Confirmation of Chief Administrator approved

B. A Resolution in Support of DEI Spaces on Campus

i. Questions

- 1. NDSCS: What would this resolution do?
- 2. Sammi Weber (President): This would go to legislators and the NDUS and they would support mandate for DEI spaces.
- 3. NDSCS: How would we get the funding for these spaces?
- 4. Carter Gill (VPGA): It doesn't mean allocating funds and resources. This would also not mandate the same setting. But for institutions to work toward these types of spaces. For example, VCSU took an unused classroom and with low funding converted it into a DEI space.

ii. Discussion

- 1. Christopher Scott (UND): I do like the thought behind this resolution and the author for putting time into this resolution. But looking at prior resolutions I don't see what this resolution does. For example, NDSA-06-2324. Looking at the verbiage and the way this resolution is worded it looks redundant to me.

iii. Katie Kempel (UND): Motions to table indefinitely

iv. Seconded by BSC

- 1. Motion passes, resolution is tabled indefinitely

C. A Resolution in Support of the Legalization of Recreational Marijuana in North Dakota

- i. Carter Gill (VCSU) – This resolution was submitted by a delegate from MiSU at last NDSA. This one got a do not pass recommendation, but we were unable to vote on it last GA because we didn't hit quorum. It would show support for the legalization of recreational marijuana in North Dakota. Outside of this resolution, if recreational marijuana was legalized, it would still be a prohibited drug on campuses if recreational marijuana was legalized.

ii. No Questions

iii. Discussion

1. BSC: One point of contention would be that the state of North Dakota has twice voted against this measure and doesn't really know if it is necessary to have a resolution supporting it due to that fact.
2. Jason Fincel (NDSU): In previous discussion, it seemed the consensus to not pass this resolution. It is not well researched and professional. And this resolution wouldn't be applied to higher ed.
3. Jason Fincel (NDSU): Motion to table indefinitely
4. Seconded by Christopher Scott (UND)
5. Motion passes, resolution is tabled indefinitely

D. A Resolution in Opposition to Current Restrictions on Dual Credit

i. Jason Fincel (NDSU): The current situation is that NDSU and UND are not allowed to offer dual credit classes if another NDUS institution is offering it. These two institutions can do some dual enrollment options like early credit, but dual credits in particular have a reduced tuition rate whereas early entry is charged the same as regular tuition. This resolution would be in support of these current, artificial restrictions to be removed so that all institutions can offer whatever kind of programs they want, whatever kind of classes they want, in the mode that they want.

ii. No Questions

iii. Discussion

1. Katie Kempel (UND): In North Dakota, dual credit works where the teacher at your high school provides instruction and students receive college credit through an institution. It is very impactful that we keep this to those 4-year regional and 2-year institutions. It allows these institutions to increase revenue and market themselves.
2. Briar Maudal (NDSCS): Dual credit is super important to NDSCS. It does generate \$4 million for our school. Opening up the door to the bigger schools in North Dakota it would take away from the smaller schools. Passing something like this is taking away from the smaller schools and taking away a lot of revenue and opportunities from already small numbers.
3. Christopher Scott (UND): I think there could be a lot of negative effects that come from this. I can understand the importance of dual credit for smaller institutions. Dual credit is a big part of their enrollment. The effects of allowing NDSU and UND to offer dual credit could push more dual credit enrollment to those institutions.

4. Evan Hutchison (UND): This would affect us as an association. It would affect head count numbers and pull more numbers and power to the bigger institutions as well.
5. Jason Fincel (NDSU): There are pros and cons to whatever we do. It comes to the university system to adapt to problems that come up. It's fair to disagree what is in the best interest of students, but we need to focus what gives students greater choice and greater access to what classes they want to take.
6. Katie Kempel (UND): As students from universities we do represent what our students at our institutions' think. As the NDSA it would be misguided for us to support something that could have adverse effects. We represent the high school students that are taking these classes as well. The difference in cost is also something to consider. So, we need to consider how this change would affect students that take these classes as well.
7. LRSC: Our dual credit program is very important to us economically and for student enrollment. A lot of dual credit students then look to attend LRSC since they have taken some classes through them already. Dual credit is very important for LRSC, so I am against this.
8. Christopher Scott (UND): Motions to pass the resolution
 1. Seconded by Jason Fincel (NDSU)
 2. Motion fails with 10 yes, 29 no, 2 abstentions

E. A Resolution in Support of the NDSA's Legislative Priorities for the 25-27 Biennium

- i. Carter Gill (VPGA): This resolution got a pass recommendation from the SLAC committee last night. The big focus is the resolve clause for the most part. This is based off of previous years' legislative priorities as well as a couple of changes that were made last night.
- ii. No Questions
- iii. Discussion
 1. Katie Kempel (UND): Friendly Amendment
 2. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I do like what I see with the priorities. I don't necessarily have a strong opinion, but something I wonder about is the preserving of academic freedom and protecting the rights and safety of all students. Is there some overlap there? There are a lot of priorities and wondering if there is a way to compact that more?
 3. Carter Gill (VCSU): We spent quite a bit of time on that top line and wondering if we wanted to include the academic freedom portion on there. We wanted to expand to not just students, so it makes that distinction

from all students.

4. Katie Kempel (UND): Friendly Amendment

iv. Motion to pass NDSCS

1. Seconded by DSU

2. Motion passes unanimously

F. A Resolution in Support of Appropriating State Funds for the Improvement of On-Campus Housing

i. Carter Gill (VPGA): This resolution comes from SLAC last night with a pass recommendation. This resolution comes from exec who have noticed on campus visits to different institutions in the past two months that campus housing is a struggle. Campus housing is considered auxiliaries, which means they are not funded by the state. They are all funded through the individual institutions themselves or the generated revenue from housing. If they wanted to do renovations, they are done through bonds, which can take up to fifty years to pay off. That puts a lot of financial strain on institutions and housing departments. This is paid off through raising room and board costs for students. This resolution would ask legislature to provide more funding to institutions specifically for campus housing and renovations of this housing.

ii. No Questions

iii. Discussion

1. Cody Olski (UND): Propose an amendment on the ninth whereas clause. Would like to change the term of 'tuition' to 'attendance'. Motions for this change.

2. Seconded by NDSCS

3. Motion passes unanimously

4. Jason Fincel (NDSU): Friendly Amendments

5. Parker Martinson (NDSCS): Friendly Amendment

6. Darin Eichele (NDSCS): See this as a great thing we should pass. It gets more students in the dorms to pay it off. Our schools and our government need to know that if our students are happy, our colleges will be happy.

7. Christopher Scott (UND): Motions to pass

1. Seconded by NDSCS

2. Motion passes 39 yes, 1 no, 1 abstention

G. A Resolution in Support of More Mental Health Availability Across All NDUS Campuses

i. Jayden Erickson (VPC): This resolution looks into getting more funding for mental health resources. There are campuses that have no funding or very little for these resources.

- ii. Grace Reep (VCSU) yields their time to Carter Gill (VPGA)
- iii. Carter Gill (VCSU): The thought process of exec on this was looking at how the 11 institutions have different needs. NDSU discussed how they have a great mental health counseling program, but there is room to grow. There's room for all institutions to grow and expand, but it is different for every institution. So this would be asking for funding for all institutions.

iv. No Questions

v. Discussion

1. Briar Maudal (NDSCS): When we were talking in SAC about this. We discussed that this topic is super important that students should have better access to mental health aid. Thought it needed to be more specific. So, this is why SAC gave a do not pass recommendation because it isn't clear. Hoping it can be written better.
2. Jason Fincel (NDSU): A little on the fence about it. The more specific it is better. However, this resolution isn't responding to a previous initiative. It is a general resolution. The nature of resolutions can be broad if it's for getting something out there. But just because it's broad doesn't necessarily mean it is bad. We do have as a legislative priority, expanding mental health support. We could then defer to the legislative priorities, then if something more specific comes up, a more detailed resolution could be written.
3. Cody Olski (UND): Friendly Amendments
4. Cody Olski (UND): Changing the wording to be less forceful on the legislators. Changing the wording for the "Therefore be it resolved...".
5. UND motions to amend
6. VCSU seconds
7. Amendment passes unanimously
8. NDSU motions to table the resolution indefinitely
 1. Seconded by NDSCS
 2. Motion passes 34 yes, 5 no, 4 abstentions, resolution is tabled indefinitely

H. A Resolution in Opposition to Initiated Ballot Measure 4

- i. Carter Gill (VPGA): Received a pass recommendation from SLAC. Following suit with VCSU and UND's resolutions in opposition to measure 4. There's not a strong plan from the proponents of the measure to make up that deficit. Deficit for the 25-27 biennium and basically from what my research has been on this which is why I wrote it is that there's not really a

strong plan from the components of the venture to make up that deficit and these properties these property tax revenues go towards things like education. Which in here I outlined how that affects a pretty significant portion of any NDUS students. I detailed how it could possibly affect any NDUS institutions without that funding. Property tax revenues go to things like K-12 education which effects NDUS students that are going into education and could affect institutions/higher education.

ii. No Questions

iii. Discussion

1. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I agree with the substance of the resolution and would want to refer it back to committee/do some revisions due to wording issues. We either need to revise it now or not pass it. It's got a number of different issues. The focus of the resolution seems a little off.
2. Carter Gill (VPGA): To clarify the point on effects on K-12 education. I was thinking of 11 institutions and the students who are pursuing any degree in education. When we talk about retaining students to stay in the state and join the North Dakota workforce, not having funding in the K-12 schools would not incentivize students to stay in the state. Could remove that clause from the resolution, would not be too huge an issue.
3. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I think we should cut back because our focus is higher education not K12. So while it is relevant and it matters it's not what we're here to do exactly. I would support an amendment to strike that part.
4. Parker Martinson (NDSCS): Focusing on higher education is important, but many of us come from K-12 public schools. You've got to focus on the lower before getting to the higher education.
5. Darin Eichele (NDSCS): To agree with Parker Martinson, we all come from K-12 schools. There are teachers that are paying for supplies. If we can save teachers money and give our kids better education so that they can come to college prepared and ready for the real world I would love to keep doing that, so I think we need to keep our funding.
6. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I agree with that point one hundred percent. The mission of the NDSA is to speak for the students of the North Dakota university system. We're not an activist organization and our advocacy is limited strictly to issues that affect higher education. That's our mandate, that's why we get funding, that's why we operate. And so while those are all good issues and points, it's not in line with what we're sent here to do and it's not what the executive officers are paid to do. I agree with the point that's the argument substance is

solid I agree 100%, but this isn't the proper place to do it.

7. Katie Kempel (UND): I agree with the spirit of K-12 education in turn impacts higher education. But this resolution does not make that known. I don't think this measure is specifically the time and place for us to draw that.
8. Cody Olski (UND): A lot of students come from out of state, so it might not impact all NDUS students. Important to focus specifically on higher ed.
9. NDSU: Motions to strike the words "Whereas the third most sought after degree..." all the way through "Can provide for K12 students in the state of North Dakota and"
 1. Seconded by UND
 2. Motion to amend the resolution passes 20 yes, 16 no, 3 absentions
10. Jason Fincel (NDSU): There's another whereas clause that is not relevant to higher education. Motion to strike this whereas clause. Will defer the motion temporarily. The following whereas clause is also not relevant to higher education. I support the resolution. The resolution says that we think there will be negative impacts on higher education. That's why we are opposing this, we can oppose it. But if all the sub points and arguments are just about stuff not pertaining to higher education then it's not a good argument for it. So, slowing down a little bit I'll I'm going to do a motion later on the second response but for now I'm going to do a motion to strike the clause "whereas if the state of North Dakota cannot accommodate for this deficit it will severely damage the abilities of counties across the state to be able to conduct operations" so strike all that text would be my motion.
 1. Seconded by Christopher Scott (UND)
 2. BSC: One contention is that counties and cities provide services which do affect NDUS institutions. For example, police and fire, utilities, streets. Those are all provided by the counties and cities so I do believe it has a direct affect, so I would oppose the motion.
 3. NDSCS: I believe because it is linked to the paragraphs below talking about having jobs connects to certain degrees.
 4. DSU: I would oppose the amendment. This clause is important to have. A lot of institutions are state funded so we need to recognize that they might not cover the deficit.

5. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I do agree with the argument, going up further in the resolution, this idea of not covering the deficit is referenced earlier in the resolution.
6. Amendment fails with 15 yes, 18 no, 7 abstentions
- 11.UND: My only opposition to this resolution is that we are only 11 days away from election day.
- 12.Christopher Scott (UND): We could have a public statement on this. So, we have to approach this differently.
- 13.Carter Gill (VPGA): If this does pass, exec has a plan to get it out there.
- 14.Jason Fincel (NDSU): Has to be in opposition to this resolution, but since we are not passing the amendments. If we are not doing the amendments, we can pass similar motions of opposition at the student senate level. We can reach out to groups on our own time. The NDSA can release public statement. But currently very strongly against the resolution and how it is currently worded.
- 15.Cody Olski (UND): I would caution that a vote 'no' does look like we do support measure 4.
- 16.Cody Olski (UND): Motions to table indefinitely
 1. Seconded by NDSU
 2. Eniola Soetan (DSU): Someone did mention that because the vote is coming up soon, and we pass the motion to table indefinitely, what would the conversation look like?
 3. Carter Gill (VPGA): We do this now, or we just don't talk about this again.
 4. Sammi Weber (President): The execs can also write a statement, but that does not need to be approved by the GA.
 5. Cody Olski (UND): If Sammi were to issue a statement, she has to be in line with the GA's opinion, which is why tabling the motion is the best option.
 6. Jason Fincel (NDSU): If we table it, it gives Sammi the opportunity to write a public statement. A public statement is a much more accurate way to approach the situation. It is a better way to do it.
 7. NDSCS: If we change the bill would it still be the same?
 8. Jason Fincel (NDSU): The amendments to the

resolution changes the focus of it. Right now it gets into the specific politics, which is not the focus of NDUS. It's about the focus of the organization and what we're doing here.

9. BSC: I wonder if tabling this wouldn't necessarily mean that now we can go down other avenues. If I'm correct? Passing this wouldn't prevent a public statement from execs or similar resolutions at local senates. We can use all avenues that we have just because of the importance of this bill.
10. Parker Martinson (NDSCS): If I'm understanding are we able to pass it and use other avenues?
11. LRSC: I wanted to bring up the question of which would have more weight? A statement from the president or a passed resolution and a statement from the president?
12. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I do think we are a little out of order. Should we move into discussion?
13. Jason Fincel (NDSU) – There is more weight if Sammi can point to resolution, but it's not historically so. There has been time where there is not enough time, so a president will write a statement with input from head delegates. Not having a resolution to back a public statement has happened many times. I would argue that even with all the time in the world a public statement would be better than a resolution. In the legislative priorities, it references that students deserve funding for grants, scholarships, etc. so Sammi has this as a basis she could reference when writing a statement. There is no need for this resolution on a practical, technical level.
14. Cody Olski (UND) – This resolution is a little bit different because it is targeting the average voter who most likely is not aware of NDSA. A public statement and a resolution will most likely carry the same weight for them.
15. Jason Fincel (NDSU): I would argue that a public statement might be stronger than a resolution. A public statement sounds a lot more intense as there is a lot more urgency to it.
16. Eniola Soetan DSU: Because it is being targeted to the voters, some of the things referenced in the resolution might be beneficial to them. A lot of what is being done will impact retention. If the amount of funding state schools can get will change retention. Which is one of our focuses. I personally think talking about funding and

spending is relevant if this resolution is going out to voters. We've discussed how stuff happening in K-12 will impact our 11 institutions. I personally don't have a problem with the wording of the resolution. I understand the points made before, but I think for this type of resolution this wording makes sense. Especially because voting is happening soon. I would be in support of this resolution.

17.NDSU: I support the motion to table this indefinitely. I think it's the best route to go because a public statement would be the best way to communicate this point. Would like to reiterate the point that in the current form, the resolution falls out of the current scope of the NDSA.

18.Motion to table indefinitely passes with 20 yes, 18 no, 5 abstentions

I. Financial Update

- i. Hayden Larson (VPFO): Update on the financial report. Our current budget is \$76,680.00 we started the year with about \$87,000. The scholarships were given and school reimbursement from the last two NDSAs. Currently in contact with the accountant and will have a more detailed update in November. To clarify, we are looking to spend down our budget, because we do have a lot in reserves. But when we are purchasing things it needs to benefit the NDSA and/or the 11 institutions.
- ii. Cody Olski (UND): This is approved, not 'pending', forgot to change the tile.
- iii. Katie Kempel (UND): Do we have a goal or plan to spend down these reserves? It is the most ethical to spend these while the students that paid this money are still at these institutions.
- iv. Hayden Larson (VPFO): In IAC last night we discussed purchasing promotional items which will hopefully increase attendance at NDSAs. Students pay money for NDSA, so we can return this favor by purchasing these promotional items to hand out.
- v. Jason Fincel (NDSU): In one sense it is the executive's responsibility to have a plan, and so I don't think it is entirely fair to expect a plan from the executive team right here, right now. We as an organization do now have a better understanding of our financial standing. In between now and the next meeting I think delegates need to take a practical look at what we could spend this money on. A previous idea has been to have a Bismarck social. I think we can discuss what we do at an institutional level and then discuss how that can happen at the NDSA level. This is something that will have to be achieved across multiple executive teams rather than

just this year's.

- vi. Cody Olski: Last year it was added to the bylaws to require an October meeting financial update. Go back to your home institutions, figure out some promotional items you market at your home institutions, and bring that back to the NDSA how we can market NDSA and get our name out there. Like Jason said, it is not just on the exec team, but we can pitch in ideas to have them purchase these items.
- vii. Christopher Scott (UND): Thinking about what events we could do as an organization as well. A higher ed day or Bismarck social and talked about leadership seminars at some point. We can think of some potential events too. This is not only to be in contact with legislators and students.
- viii. Sammi Weber (President): Every student pays four cents per credit which is where we get our funding.
- ix. Jason Fincel (NDSU): There were some frustrations on the NDSU side that the NDSA didn't do enough. The promotional items is a good idea, but we need to have a conversation on what else we can spend money on besides these promotional items. Go to your home institutions think about what we can do. Think about what NDSA is giving the students on a practical level.
- x. NDSCS: One of the main topics that we've had going around at NDSCS is that we've had students interested in joining us at these NDSAs. There's been the question of can non-senate students come to NDSAs?
- xi. Sammi Weber (President): Technically every student is a member of NDSA whether they come or not, so they can attend NDSAs.
- xii. NDSU: We had around \$65,000 and now we are up to \$80,000. Do we know how long the NDSA has been operating underbudget?
- xiii. Katie Kempel (UND): Covid-19 has had a huge effect on where this increase in reserves came from. We hope to spend our full budget in the years to come.
- xiv. Cody Olski (UND): If you go to 23-24 IAC at the BSC meeting in the SharePoint, there is a financial history spreadsheet history for those interested.
- xv. Christopher Scott (UND): There is a spreadsheet available. These reserves have built up over year after year after year. So, we need to figure out a way to spend it down. I like Jason's point of picking important ways to spend our money.
- xvi. Grace Reep (VCSU): Would like to motion for a ten-minute recess.
- xvii. Seconded by NDSCS
- xviii. Motion to recess fails with 11 yes, 29 no, 1 abstention

- J. Discussion on Chief of Staff
- X. Complete General Assembly Feedback Survey
- XI. Institutional Reports
 - A. BSC: Had their homecoming and table event to promote wearing green on campus to promote campus spirit. New Polytech building is in the works. Look forward to the murder mystery event at the governor's mansion October 30th. Installing a new wellness trail. In contact with campus police about student concerns on campus.
 - B. DCB: Planning for a trunk or treat event in the community. Planning is underway for Smokey's week which is held in February but for the community as well which is their version of homecoming. Establishing changes to the DCB handbook for the upcoming year.
 - C. DSU: Fall sports are over halfway done. Football, cross country, and softball just wrapped up. Women's basketball is doing good. Homecoming wrapped up with lots of attendance at the football game. Student senate is working with campus for better campus safety.
 - D. LRSC: No large issues to report. Athletics have been going well. Student senate is hoping for more student involvement especially when it comes to weekend events. Started a campus book club. Will bring information about a fall break at the next meeting.
 - E. MaSU: No report
 - F. MiSU: We have a lot of sport events over this weekend. For the first time, track and field is hosted at Minot State Herb Parker Stadium. We are going to have a lot of events for Halloween to approach local high school students. We also just host our annual NoDaKon Cyber Security Awareness Event.
 - G. NDSCS: Hot rod club is newly started, and they had a fundraiser. Huge hit as it was a car smashing event. Music concert on Sunday at 2. Won their homecoming game 40-0 against Central Lakes-Brainerd. Dining this year has the best chicken nuggets. Their senate board has been officially filled. A Fargo senator has been added. Placed 5th at Skills USA.
 - H. NDSU: Won against SDSU.
 - I. UND: Hockey beat Boston yesterday and will play them again tonight. Student government is working for an Olympics event in the spring. Student government also discussed legislative priorities. Football team despite several losses is stronger than ever, and the student government held a campus safety walk.
 - J. VCSU: The past two days was the Ployhar band festival with 90 band kids on campus. The concert was last night and went well. The construction on McCarthy Hall, the education building, is two months ahead of schedule. Currently holding interviews for a multicultural affairs director. Thank you to everyone for such a good turnout.
 - K. WSC: At the beginning of the year talked about getting more campus

involvement. Hoping to update the parking lots. Currently building a health and science building that should be done by next fall. Talked about making their website better.

XII. Public Comment and Announcements

- A. Carter Gill (VPGA): Keep an eye out for a resolution writing workshop before the next NDSA. It will help people understand why we write resolutions and how to write them.
- B. Congratulations to Briar Maudal (NDSCS) for winning delegate of the month.

XIII. Adjourn

- A. NDSU motions to adjourn
- B. Seconded by VCSU
- C. Motion to adjourn passes, adjourned at 12:15 pm